Impact of comorbidities in the decision of using invasive management in elderly patients with NSTEACS
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ABSTRACT

Introduction and objectives: The presence of comorbidities in elderly patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome worsens its prognosis. The objective of the study was to analyze the impact of the burden of comorbidities in the decision of using invasive management in these patients.

Methods: A total of 7211 patients > 70 years old from 11 Spanish registries were included. Individual data were analyzed in a common database. We assessed the presence of 6 comorbidities and their association with coronary angiography during admission.

Results: The mean age was 79 ± 6 years and the mean CRACE score was 150 ± 21 points. A total of 1179 patients (16%) were treated conservatively. The presence of each comorbidity was associated with less invasive management (adjusted for predictive clinical variables): cerebrovascular disease (OR, 0.78; 95%CI, 0.64-0.95; P = .01), anemia (OR, 0.64; 95%CI, 0.54-0.76; P < .0001), chronic kidney disease (OR, 0.65; 95%CI, 0.56-0.75; P < .0001), peripheral arterial disease (OR, 0.65; 95%CI, 0.56-0.75; P = .02), chronic lung disease (OR, 0.85; IC95%, 0.71-0.99; P = .05), and diabetes mellitus (OR, 0.85; 95%CI, 0.74-0.98; P < .03). The increase in the number of comorbidities (comorbidity burden) was associated with a reduction in coronary angiographies after adjusting for the GRACE score: 1 comorbidity (OR, 0.66; 95%CI, 0.54-0.81), 2 comorbidities (OR, 0.55; 95%CI, 0.45-0.69), 3 comorbidities (OR, 0.37; 95%CI, 0.29-0.47), 4 comorbidities (OR, 0.33; 95%CI, 0.24-0.45), ≥ 5 comorbidities (OR, 0.21; 95%CI, 0.12-0.36); all P values < .0001 compared to 0.

Conclusions: The number of coronary angiographies performed drops as the number of comorbidities increases in elderly patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome. More studies are still needed to know what the best management of these patients should be.
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Influencia de las comorbididades en la decisión del tratamiento invasivo en ancianos con SCASEST

Resumen

Introducción y objetivos: La comorbilidad en ancianos con síndrome coronario agudo sin elevación del segmento ST empeora el pronóstico. El objetivo fue analizar la influencia de la carga de comorbilidad en la decisión del tratamiento invasivo en ancianos con SCASEST.

Métodos: Se incluyeron 7.211 pacientes mayores de 70 años procedentes de 11 registros españoles. Los datos se analizaron en una base de datos conjunta. Se evaluó la presencia de 6 enfermedades simultáneas y su asociación con la realización de coronariografía durante el ingreso.

Resultados: La edad media fue de 79 ± 6 años y la puntuación GRACE media fue de 150 ± 21 puntos. Fueron tratados de manera conservadora 1.179 pacientes (16%). La presencia de cada enfermedad se asoció con menor abordaje invasivo (ajustado por variables clínicas predictivas): enfermedad cerebrovascular (odds ratio [OR] = 0,78; intervalo de confianza del 95% [IC95%], 0,64-0,95; p = 0,01), anemia (OR = 0,64; IC95%, 0,54-0,76; p < 0,0001), insuficiencia renal (OR = 0,65; IC95%, 0,56-0,75; p < 0,0001), arteriopatía periférica (OR = 0,79; IC95%, 0,65-0,96; p = 0,02), enfermedad pulmonar crónica (OR = 0,85; IC95%, 0,71-0,99; p = 0,05) y diabetes mellitus (OR = 0,85; IC95%, 0,74-0,98; p = 0,03). Asimismo, el aumento del número de enfermedades (carga de comorbilidad) se asoció con menor realización de coronariografías, ajustado por la escala GRACE. 1 enfermedad (OR = 0,66; IC95%, 0,54-0,81); 2 (OR = 0,55; IC95%, 0,45-0,69); 3 (OR = 0,37; IC95%, 0,29-0,47); 4 (OR = 0,33; IC95%, 0,24-0,45); ≥ 5 (OR = 0,21; IC95%, 0,12-0,36); todos p < 0,0001, en comparación con ninguna enfermedad.

Conclusiones: Conforme aumenta la comorbilidad disminuye la realización de coronariografías en ancianos con síndrome coronario agudo sin elevación del segmento ST. Se necesitan estudios que investiguen la mejor estrategia diagnóstico-terapéutica en estos pacientes.


INTRODUCCIÓN

La comorbilidad en ancianos con síndrome coronario agudo sin elevación del segmento ST (SCASEST) es una entidad que afecta a un importante número de pacientes, con una alta carga de comorbilidad asociada.1 La comorbilidad se define como la presencia simultánea de dos o más enfermedades no relacionadas entre sí, con una sobrecarga de riesgo cardiovascular.2-4

La comorbilidad en ancianos con síndrome coronario agudo sin elevación del segmento ST empeora el pronóstico.5,6-8 El tratamiento invasivo, consistente en la realización de una coronariografía, puede ser una opción beneficiosa para estos pacientes, pero su realización depende de varios factores, entre ellos la comorbilidad.9-11

Los ancianos con comorbilidad tienen un pronóstico desfavorable, con una mayor mortalidad y mayor riesgo de eventos coronarios.12,13 La comorbilidad se asocia con una mayor frecuencia de eventos cardiovasculares en el largazo seguimiento, incluso en pacientes que han sobrevivido a un evento coronario.14-16

INTRODUCTION

Population ageing leads to an increase in the number of elderly patients who suffer non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome (NSTEACS). This population group, that has been misrepresented in large studies, has a great comorbidity burden that increases with age1 and an important impact on prognosis.2-4 The ideal therapeutic strategy for the management of these patients is still unknown. The benefit of an invasive strategy in elderly patients with NSTEACS (annex).2,12-20 All cases were included in a common database with over 7000 elderly patients with NSTEACS. In this preliminary analysis, the objective was to study the impact of comorbidities on the decision to go with invasive approach.

METHODS

Study design

The study was conducted from 11 cohorts of Spanish registries of patients with NSTEACS (annex).5,13-15 All cases were included in a single database of patients with chest pain and a diagnosis of NSTEACS, > 70 years of age and with, at least, a 1-year follow-up.

The anthropometric and social-demographic data, main cardiovascular risk factors, and analytical and hemodynamic data at admission or during hospitalization were registered.

Patients were treated according to each center routine clinical practice and the decision to treat the NSTEACS invasively, with or without a coronary angiography, was left to the discretion of the treating physician. The 6-month mortality GRACE risk score was determined in all the patients.21

A total of 6 conditions that proved to have a higher prognostic impact on elderly patients hospitalized due to acute coronary syndrome (ACS) in a previous study were included:22 renal failure [glomerular filtration rate < 60mL/min/1.73m²], anemia [hemoglobin levels < 11 g/dL], diabetes mellitus (DM), cerebrovascular disease, peripheral arterial disease, and chronic pulmonary disease.

Endpoints

The study primary endpoint was to assess how the presence of comorbidities impacted the decision to perform a coronary angiography during admission.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were expressed as absolute values (percentages) and compared using the unpaired Student t test or the ANOVA. The continuous ones were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and compared using the chi-square test.
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Initially, the correlation between each disease and the performance of a coronary angiography through univariable analysis was assessed. Then, a first binary logistic regression model was conducted including the 6 conditions and the clinical variables associated with the performance of the coronary angiography in the univariable analysis. The odds ratio (OR) and the 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) were estimated. Afterwards, patients were classified according to their comorbidity burden, defined by the number of concomitant conditions (from 0 to 6). A second logistics regression model was conducted where comorbidity burden was adjusted for the predictive clinical variables in the previous analysis. Finally, a third logistics regression model was conducted where the comorbidity burden was adjusted based on the GRACE risk score. Differences were considered statistically significant with P values < .05.

RESULTS

A total of 7211 patients with a mean age of 79 ± 6 years were included; 62% were males. Table 1 shows the population baseline characteristics. The prevalence of comorbidities was DM in 2874 patients (40%); chronic kidney disease in 3070 patients (42.6%); anemia in 1025 (14.2%), peripheral arterial disease in 1006 (14%), chronic pulmonary disease in 1161 (16%), and previous stroke in 831 (11.5%).

During admission 6032 patients (84%) underwent a coronary angiography. A total of 4339 patients (60%) were revascularized: 3848 (53%) of them through percutaneous coronary intervention and 491 (7%) through surgery. Patients on conservative management (1179, 16%) were predominantly women with higher scores in the GRACE score, and a past medical history of infarction or heart failure. Conversely, smoking and high levels of troponins or ST-segment depressions on the electrocardiogram performed at admission and a previous percutaneous coronary intervention were associated with a higher invasive approach (table 1). The GRACE risk score was lower in patients who underwent catheterization (147 ± 19 vs 159 ± 21; \( P = .0001 \)).

The presence of each of the 6 conditions studied was associated with fewer coronary angiographies performed: chronic kidney disease, 60.7% vs 39% (\( P = .0001 \)); anemia, 23.2% vs 12.5% (\( P = .0001 \)); DM, 44.3% vs 39% (\( P = .0001 \)); cerebrovascular disease, 15.8% vs 10.7% (\( P = .0001 \)); peripheral arterial disease, 16.6% vs 13.4% (\( P = .04 \)); and chronic pulmonary disease, 17.8% vs 15.8% (\( P = .08 \)) (table 1).

In the multivariable analysis adjusted for the main cardiovascular risk factors and clinical variables that were statistically significant in the univariable analysis, the 6 conditions associated with a lower probability of an indication for coronary angiography were: cerebrovascular disease, OR, 0.78 [95%CI, 0.64-0.95; \( P = .01 \)]; anemia, OR, 0.64 [95%CI, 0.54-0.76; \( P < .0001 \)]; DM, 15.8% vs 10.7% (\( P = .0001 \)); cerebrovascular disease, 16.6% vs 13.4% (\( P = .04 \)); and chronic pulmonary disease, 17.8% vs 15.8% (\( P = .08 \)) (table 1).

Comorbidity burden was defined as the number of present conditions [from 0 to 6]. This was their distribution: 0 conditions, n = 2413 (33.5%); 2 conditions, n = 1638 (22.7%); 3 conditions, n = 879 (12.2%); 4 conditions, n = 314 (4.4%); and 5 or 6 conditions, n = 76 (1.1%). The analysis of the comorbidity burden adjusted for the clinical variables associated with the indication for coronary angiography showed a negative correlation between the number of conditions and the probability to perform a coronary angiography: 1 condition, OR, 0.66 [95%CI, 0.54-0.81]; 2 conditions, OR, 0.55 [95%CI, 0.45-0.69]; 3 conditions, OR, 0.37 [95%CI, 0.29-0.46]; 4 conditions, OR, 0.32 [95%CI, 0.23-0.45]; and 5 or 6 conditions, OR, 0.21 [95% CI, 0.12-0.37]. All P values < .0001 compared to no condition.

With more conditions, higher GRACE risk scores (table 3). The negative correlation between the comorbidity burden and the performance of the coronary angiography was kept after adjusting for the GRACE risk score. Figure 1 shows that with more conditions, the probability to perform a coronary angiography increased too (figure 1A) despite the higher risk posed by higher GRACE risk scores (figure 1B, table 3).

DISCUSSION

The main findings of our study were: a/ the 6 conditions studied [cerebrovascular disease, anemia, chronic kidney disease, 2020. https://doi.org/10.24875/RECICE.M20000147

| Table 1. Differences in the baseline characteristics based on the therapeutic approach |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | All n = 7211    | Conservative approach n = 1 179 (16) | Invasive approach n = 6 032 (84) | \( P \) |
| Age (years)    | 79 ± 6          | 82 ± 6          | 78 ± 5          | .001          |
| Males          | 4 441 (61.6)    | 597 (50.6)      | 3 844 (63.7)    | .0001         |
| Smoking        | 621 (6.6)       | 72 (6.1)        | 549 (9.1)       | .0001         |
| Hypertension   | 5 723 (79.4)    | 943 (80)        | 4 780 (79.2)    | .58           |
| Dyslipidemia   | 4 262 (59)      | 609 (51.7)      | 3 653 (60.6)    | .0001         |
| Previous myocardial infarction | 1 682 (23.3) | 371 (31.7) | 1 308 (21.7) | .0001 |
| Pervious percutaneous coronary intervention | 1 334 (19) | 175 (14.8) | 1 159 (19.2) | .0001 |
| Previous coronary surgery | 573 (7.9) | 104 (8.8) | 469 (7.8) | .24 |
| Previous heart failure | 641 (8.9) | 198 (16.8) | 443 (7.3) | .0001 |
| Killip ≥ 2     | 1 889 (26.2)    | 463 (39.3)      | 1 426 (23.6)    | .0001         |
| ST-segment depression | 2 638 (36.6) | 396 (33.6) | 2 242 (37.2) | .02 |
| High troponin levels | 5 319 (73.7) | 920 (78) | 4 399 (73) | .001 |
| Left ventricular ejection fraction (%) | 54 ± 11 | 54 ± 12 | 55 ± 11 | .03 |
| GRACE          | 150 ± 21        | 159 ± 21        | 147 ± 19        | .0001         |
| Comorbidities  |                |                |                |               |
| Anemia         | 1 025 (14.2)    | 273 (23.2)      | 752 (12.5)      | .0001         |
| Peripheral arterial disease | 1 006 (14) | 196 (16.6) | 810 (13.4) | .04 |
| Chronic pulmonary disease | 1 161 (16.1) | 210 (17.8) | 951 (15.8) | .08 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 2 874 (39.9) | 522 (44.3) | 2 352 (39) | .0001 |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 831 (11.5) | 186 (15.8) | 645 (10.7) | .0001 |
| Chronic kidney disease | 3 070 (42.6) | 716 (60.7) | 2 354 (39) | .0001 |

Data are expressed as no. (%) or mean ± standard deviation.
Table 2. Results: multivariable analysis for the indication of a coronary angiography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95%CI</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.88-0.91</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.28-1.71</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.26-1.66</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous myocardial infarction</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.39-0.54</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous heart failure</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.56-0.84</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous percutaneous coronary intervention</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.55-2.34</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killip ≥ 2</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.56-0.80</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST-segment depression</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.25-1.66</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular ejection fraction (by 5%)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98-0.99</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.54-0.76</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral artery disease</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.65-0.96</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pulmonary disease</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.71-0.99</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.74-0.98</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.64-0.95</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney disease</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.56-0.75</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95%CI: 95% confidence interval; OR: odds ratio.

Table 3. Distribution of comorbidity burden and the score obtained in the GRACE risk score (P < .0001 for the tendency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>N = 7211</th>
<th>GRACE risk score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1891 (26)</td>
<td>141 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2413 (33.5)</td>
<td>148 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1638 (22.7)</td>
<td>153 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>879 (12.2)</td>
<td>160 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>314 (4.4)</td>
<td>162 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 5</td>
<td>76 (1.1)</td>
<td>166 ± 17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as no. (%) or mean ± standard deviation.

Comorbidity burden is very important for the in-hospital management of NSTEACS.2,3,6,9,10 Although the optimal therapeutic strategy for the management of elderly patients with NSTEACS is still unknown, several studies show certain benefits with revascularization.5,7,8,25-30

Our study shows that with higher comorbidity burdens, lower chances of undergoing coronary angiographies. This may be due to the fact that comorbidities are seen as contraindications for the invasive approach.10 However, the risk of suffering an acute myocardial infarction according to the GRACE risk score increases parallel to the number of concomitant conditions. Actually, these may be the patients who would benefit the most from an invasive approach.21,32

The presence of each one of these 6 conditions was independently associated with fewer invasive approaches. On the one hand, cerebrovascular disease and peripheral arterial disease are responsible for a greater spread of atherosclerotic disease.31 Anemia has proven to be a powerful predictor of mortality in the ACS setting;24-36 we used the 11 g/dL threshold as the cut-off value that had the greatest impact on mortality in former studies.24 Its specific weight in the decision to administer conservative treatment may be justified by its clear association with the occurrence of hemorrhagic events in the ACS setting.24 Chronic kidney disease is an expression of a greater spread of cardiovascular disease and is independently associated with more mortality after an ACS. There is a linear
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correlation between the risk of death due to cardiovascular causes and lower glomerular filtration rates. DM is a powerful predictor of mortality, and not only due to cardiovascular causes. There is a clear correlation between DM and major adverse cardiovascular events, and these are patients at very high risk. Chronic pulmonary disease is associated with a worse short-term prognosis after an acute myocardial infarction. Also, in the management of NSTEACS it is associated with diagnostic delays, fewer invasive approaches, and a lower use of drugs for secondary prevention purposes.

In the multivariable analysis, age, previous acute myocardial infarctions, previous heart failure, Killip class $\geq 2$ at admission, and a reduced ejection fraction were associated with fewer invasive approaches. Elderly patients receive fewer evidence-based therapies. The older the age, the lower the rate of performing coronary angiographies. On top of age, a past medical history of infarction, heart failure, a reduced ejection fraction, and scores $\geq 2$ in the Killip classification are important aspects in the prognosis of ACS that, in general, translate into a worse ventricular function. Paradoxically, our findings suggest that the higher the risk, the lower the chances of performing a coronary angiography. Actually, these findings are consistent with former studies published. It is possible that the perception of fewer benefits from revascularization or higher risk in the revascularization procedures may explain these results. On the other hand, male sex, dyslipidemia, previous percutaneous coronary interventions, and ST-segment depressions at admission were associated with more invasive approaches. Several studies suggest that women undergo fewer invasive approaches compared to men despite the mortality benefits seen. Previous angioplasties, ST-segment depressions, and dyslipidemias are probably interpreted as ischemic risk factors, which may explain their association with a higher frequency of invasive approaches.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The presence of comorbidities greatly impacts the therapeutic decision in elderly patients with ACS. With more conditions, higher GRACE risk scores, and lower chances of indicating a coronary angiography

This paradox of higher-risk and more conservative treatment justifies conducting new studies to determine the benefits of the invasive strategy in elderly patients with NSTEACS and comorbidities to establish the best therapeutic decision.
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**WHAT IS KNOWN ABOUT THE TOPIC?**

- Elderly patients with NSTEACS have a higher comorbidity burden. Concomitant conditions are associated with worse prognosis. Elderly patients with comorbidities undergo fewer coronary angiographies despite their worse prognosis, which is in sharp contrast with the recommendations published in the clinical practice guidelines.

**WHAT DOES THIS STUDY ADD?**

- This analysis of a multicenter registry shows the correlation between comorbidity burden and invasive therapeutic approach in elderly patients with NSTEACS. With more concomitant conditions, higher GRACE risk scores, but lower chances of indicating a coronary angiography.
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